

Annex XI: Internal control monitoring criteria results for 2021

This Annex provides a list of qualitative and/or quantitative indicators for each of the 17 internal control principles laid out by the Internal Control Framework that was applied by the EUAA through Management Board Decision No. 42 of 21 December 2018.

These indicators shall assist EUAA Management in measuring the effective implementation of internal control principles within the Agency's Internal Control System.

Principle 1: The Agency demonstrates a commitment to integrity and ethical values.

Nō	Indicators	Source of data to obtain value for indicator	Baseline value 2020	Target value 2021	Measured value 2021	Target value 2022	Owner	Assessment/Conclusion		
1.1	Tone at the top – The Management Board ('MB'), the Executive Director ('ED') and all management levels respect integrity and ethical values in their instructions, actions and behaviour.									
1.2.	Standards of conduct – The Agency's expectations on integrity and ethical values are set out by analogy to those standards of conduct of the Commission and are understood at all levels of the organization, as well as by entrusted bodies, outsourced service providers and beneficiaries.									
1.2.1.	% of staff that participated in trainings on "ethics and integrity" and on "respect and dignity" in the last two years, including induction sessions	Self- Assessment & Ex-post controls	63%	55%	39.54%	55%	HRU/RCDS	Target indicator partially achieved for 2021. Target indicator was achieved for 2020.		
1.3.	Alignment with standards - Processes are in place to assess whether individuals and departments are aligned by analogy with the Commission's expected standards of conduct									
1.3.1.	(Y/N) Annual up-date / reminder of declaration of Acol for MB members	Self- Assessment & Ex-Post Controls	Υ	Υ	Υ	Υ	C4/MB Secretariat	Target indicator achieved for 2021. Target indicator was achieved for 2020.		

¹ Following the EUAA reorganisation (01/01/2020), the organisation structure has been changed and the departments have been replaced by centres. The EUAA Internal Control Framework was adopted by the Management Board Decision No 42 on 21 December 2018 (before the reorganisation took place). Therefore, in the context of this annex, the "department" is equivalent to the "centre".



Principle 2: MB demonstrates independence from management and exercises oversight of the development and performance of internal control.

Nº	Indicator	Source of data to obtain value for indicator	Baseline value 2020	Target value 2021	Measured value 2021	Target value 2022	Owner	Assessment/Conclusion				
2.1.	2.1. The MB oversees governance, risk management and internal control practices and takes overall political responsibility for management carried out by the ED. This happens using appropriate working arrangements and communication channels.											
2.1.1	(Y/N) Regular reporting to the MB on general governance indicators for monitoring of the management carried out by the ED ² .	Self- Assessment & Ex-Post Controls	Y (quarterly)	Y (quarterly)	Y (quarterly)	Y (quarterly)	GSSU/CPRS	Target indicator achieved for 2021. Target indicator was achieved for 2020.				
2.2.	ED oversees the internal control systems and oversees the development and performance of internal control. The ED is supported in this task by the ICC and other RAOs (as relevant).											
2.3.	The ICC plays a key role and coordinates the preparation of the CAAR. In this context, the ICC signs a declaration taking responsibility for the completeness and reliability of management reporting. This declaration covers both the state of internal control within EASO and the robustness of reporting on operational performance. However, responsibility for achieving operational objectives remains with the relevant Heads of Department ('HoD') and the ED.											

 $^{^{\}rm 2}$ The reporting is done in accordance with MB Decision 71.



Principle 3: Management establishes, with political oversight, structures, reporting lines, and appropriate authorities and responsibilities in the pursuit of objectives.

Nº	Indicator	Source of data to obtain value for indicator	Baseline value 2020	Target value 2021	Measured value 2021	Target value 2022	Owner	Assessment/Conclusion			
3.1.	 Management structures are comprehensive. The design and implementation of management and supervision structures cover all policies, programmes and activities. In particular, for spending programmes, they cover all management modes, expenditure types, delivery mechanisms and entities in charge of budget implementation to support the achievement of policy, operational and control objectives. 										
3.1.1	(Y/N) The organisational chart is kept up to date, available on intranet and extranet and transparently communicated to all staff	Self- Assessment & Ex-post controls	Υ	Y	Y	Y	GSSU/QMES CPRU/PCS	Target indicator achieved for 2021. Target indicator was achieved for 2020.			
3.2.	Authorities and responsibilities. The ED, as appropriate, delegates authority and uses appropriate processes and technology to assign responsibility and segregate duties as necessary at the various levels of the Agency.										
3.3.	Reporting lines. The ED designs and evaluates reporting lines within departments and with entrusted entities to enable the execution of authority, fulfilment of responsibilities, and flow of information.										



Principle 4: The Agency demonstrates a commitment to attract, develop, and retain competent individuals in alignment with objectives.

Nº	Indicator	Source of data to obtain value for indicator	Baseline value 2020	Target value 2021	Measured value 2021	Target value 2022	Owner	Assessment/Conclusion			
4.1	Competence framework. The ED defines the competences necessary to support the achievement of objectives and regularly evaluates them across the agency, taking action to address shortcomings where necessary.										
4.2.	Professional development. The ED provides the training and coaching needed to attract, develop, and retain a sufficient number of competent staff.										
4.2.1	% of statutory staff leaving the Agency before completing half of their contract ³	Self- Assessment & Ex-post controls	3.3%	< 5 %	4.1%	< 5 %	HRU/RCDS/PES	Target indicator achieved for 2021. Target indicator was achieved for 2020.			
4.3	Mobility. The ED promotes and pl	ans staff mobility	so as to strike	the right ba	lance between	continuity ar	nd renewal.				
4.4.	Succession planning and deputizing arrangements for operational activities and financial transactions are in place to ensure continuity of operations.										
4.4.1	Rate of absenteeism is below set targets ⁴	Self- Assessment & Ex-post controls	2.1 %	< 5%	2.1%	< 5%	HR	Target indicator achieved for 2021. Target indicator was achieved for 2020.			

³ Indicator 2.4. as per MB Decision 60

⁴ Indicator 2.3. as per MB Decision 71



Principle 5: The Agency holds individuals accountable for their internal control responsibilities in the pursuit of objectives.

Nō	Indicator	Source of data to obtain value for indicator	Baseline value 2020	Target value 2021	Measured value 2021	Target value 2022	Owner	Assessment/Conclusion			
5.1.	Enforcing accountability. The Agency defines clear roles and responsibilities and holds individuals and entrusted entities accountable for the performance of internal control responsibilities across the organisation and for the implementation of corrective action as necessary.										
5.2.	Staff appraisal. Staff efficiency, abilities and conduct in the service are assessed annually against expected standards of conduct and set objectives. Cases of underperformance are appropriately addressed.										
<i>5.3</i> .	Staff promotion. Promotion is decided after consideration of the comparative merits of eligible staff taking into account, in particular, their appraisal reports.										



Principle 6: The Agency specifies objectives with sufficient clarity to enable the identification and assessment of risks relating to objectives.

Nō	Indicator	Source of data to obtain value for indicator	Baseline value 2020	Target value 2021	Measured value 2021	Target value 2022	Owner	Assessment/Conclusion			
6.1.	Mission. The Agency, its departments and units/sectors have up-to-date mission statements that are aligned across all hierarchical levels, down to the tasks and objectives assigned to individual staff members. Mission statements are aligned with the Agency's responsibilities under the Treaties and the policy objectives set in the legal base.										
6.2.	Objectives are set at every level. The Agency's objectives are clearly set and updated when necessary (e.g. significant changes in priorities, activities and/or the organigram). They are consistently filtered down from top level to the various levels of the organisation and are communicated and understood by management and staff.										
6.2.1	(Y/N) Each Unit/Sector has established and documented its mission, main objectives and key performance indicators.	Self- Assessment & Ex-post controls	Υ	Y	Y	Υ	ICRMS	Target indicator achieved for 2021. Target indicator was achieved for 2020.			
6.3.	Objectives are set for the most significating to the core business, as well		•	indicators ⁶ cove	er the Agency's	most signif	icant activities o	contributing to the delivery of the Agency's priorities			
6.4.	Objectives form the basis for comm and financial performance goals.	itting resources.	Management	uses the object	ives set as a bo	asis for allo	cating available	resources as needed to achieve policy, operational			
6.5	Financial reporting objectives. Finan	cial reporting obj	ectives are cor	nsistent with the	e accounting pr	inciples app	olicable to the A	gency.			
6.6.	Non-financial reporting objectives. Non-financial reporting provides management with accurate and complete information needed to manage the organisation at Agency, department and unit level.										
6.7.	Risk tolerance and materiality. When setting objectives, management defines the acceptable levels of variation relative to their achievement (tolerance for risk) as well as the appropriate level of materiality for reporting purposes, taking into account cost effectiveness.										
6.8.	Monitoring. Setting objectives and performance indicators make it possible to monitor progress towards their achievement.										

⁵ Objectives must be SMART (specific, measurable, achievable, relevant and time-framed)

⁶ Indicators must be RACER (relevant, accepted, credible, easy to monitor and robust)



1	l Indicator	Source of data to obtain value for indicator	Baseline value 2020	Target value 2021	Measured value 2021	Target value 2022	Owner	Assessment/Conclusion
6.8.	% Achievement of pre-defined 1. targets for objectives set in the SPD against pre-defined indicators ⁷	Accaccment	79%	>95%	86%	>95%	GSSU/CPRS	Target indicator partially achieved for 2021. Target indicator was partially achieved for 2020.

⁷ Indicator 1.1 as per MB Decision No 71



Principle 7: The Agency identifies risks to the achievement of its objectives across the organisation and analyses risks as a basis for determining how the risks should be managed.

Nō	Indicator	Source of data to obtain value for indicator	Baseline value 2020	Target value 2021	Measured value 2021	Target value 2022	Owner	Assessment/Conclusion			
7.1.	7.1. Risk identification. The ED identifies and assesses risks at the various organisational levels (Departments, Units, and Sectors) and those related to entrusted entities, analysir internal and external factors. Management and staff are involved in the process at the appropriate level.										
7.1.1	Level of global satisfaction of Risk management correspondents with the ICRMS' info sessions and workshops.8	Self- Assessment & Ex-post controls	N/A	≥7	7.9	≥7	ICRMS	Target indicator achieved for 2021. Target indicator was not assessed during 2020.			
7.2.	Risk assessment. The ED estimates the significance of the risks identified and determines how to respond to significant risks considering how each one should be managed an										
<i>7.3</i> .	Risk identification and risk assessme	nt are integrated int	o the annual d	activity plann	ning and are regu	ılarly monito	red.				
7.3.1.	% of implementation within the target deadline of mitigating measures against significant and critical risk identified during risk management exercise9	Ex-post controls	100%	≥80%	90%	≥80%	ICRMS	Target indicator achieved for 2021. Target indicator was achieved for 2020.			

⁹ Indicator 4.3. as per MB Decision 71.

⁸ Staff satisfaction is measured after each training by using scale from 1 to 10 - 10 being extremely satisfied, 1 not satisfied at all. If level of global satisfaction of staff with ICRM training modules (ICRM 01,02 and 03)) is higher than or equals 7, it is considered that the target is achieved. Available results for 2021 might not be fully representative as only one info session was assessed.



Principle 8: The Agency considers the potential for fraud in assessing risks to the achievement of objectives.

Nº	Indicator	Source of data to obtain value for indicator	Baseline value 2020	Target value 2021	Measured value 2021	Target value 2022	Owner	Assessment/Conclusion			
8.1.	8.1. Risk of fraud. The risk identification and assessment procedures (see principle 7) consider possible incentives, pressures, opportunities and attitudes which may lead to any type of fraud, notably fraudulent reporting, loss of assets, disclosure of sensitive information and corruption.										
8.1.1.	% of statutory staff that participated in fraud prevention and fraud risk identification training in the last three years (2019-2021)	Self- Assessment & Ex-post controls	56%	50%	53.61%	50%	HRU/RCDS/ICRMS	Target indicator achieved for 2021. Target indicator was achieved for 2020.			
8.2.	Anti-fraud strategy. The Agency as a whole and each Department/Unit/Sector set up and implement measures to counter fraud and any illegal activities affecting the find interests of the EU. They do this by putting in place a sound anti-fraud strategy to improve the prevention, detection and conditions for investigating fraud, and to set out repar and deterrence measures, with proportionate and dissuasive sanctions.							, , ,			
8.2.1	% of timely implementation of agreed mitigating actions in the Agency's Fraud Risk Register.	The EUAA Fraud Risk Register	90%	85%	100%	85%	ICRMS	Target indicator achieved for 2021. Target indicator was achieved for 2020.			



Principle 9: The Agency identifies and assesses changes that could significantly impact the internal control system.

Nō	Indicator	Source of data to obtain value for indicator	Baseline value 2020	Target value 2021	Measured value 2021	Target value 2022	Owner	Assessment/Conclusion
9.1.	Assess changes. The risk identification process considers changes in the internal and external environment, in policies and operational priorities, as well as in management's attitude towards the internal control system.							



Principle 10: The Agency selects and develops control activities that contribute to the mitigation of risks to the achievement of objectives to acceptable levels.

Nº	Indicator	Source of data to obtain value for indicator	Baseline value 2020	Target value 2021	Measured value 2021	Target value 2022	Owner	Assessment/Conclusion			
10.1.	O.1. Control activities are performed to mitigate the identified risks and are cost-effective. They are tailored to the specific activities and risks of each of the Department/Unit/Sector and their intensity is proportional to the underlying risks.										
10.1.1	% of actors involved in the financial circuits (FIA, FVA, RAO ¹⁰) having followed the minimum compulsory competence framework (ABAC, ELC, Internal Control and Fraud Prevention).	Self- Assessment & Ex-post controls	Y FIA – 90% av. in 3 trainings FVA – 94.87 % av. in 3 trainings RAO – 85.12% av. in 3 trainings	85%	Y FIA – 94% av. in 3 trainings FVA – 97 % av. in 3 trainings RAO – 92% av. in 3 trainings	85%	HRU/FINU	Target indicator achieved for 2021. Target indicator was achieved for 2020.			
10.2.	Control activities are integrated in a balance of approaches to mitigat						_	ements, and where appropriate, should include			
10.2.1	(Y/N) Important and Very important recommendations from IAS are not outstanding and delayed on its implementation for more than three years	IAS Reports	Υ	Y	Y	Y	ICRMS	Target indicator achieved for 2021. Target indicator was achieved for 2020.			
10.2.2	(Y/N) ECA observations are not outstanding and delayed on its	ECA Reports	N	Υ	N	Υ	ICRMS	Target indicator not achieved for 2021. Target indicator was not achieved for 2020.			

¹⁰The training is compulsory for all the actors involved in financial circuits, neverthless, the scope of this indicaots has been prioritised to FIA, FVA and RAO.



Nō	Indicator	Source of data to obtain value for indicator	Baseline value 2020	Target value 2021	Measured value 2021	Target value 2022	Owner	Assessment/Conclusion		
	implementation for more than three years									
10.3.	Segregation of duties. When putting in place control measures, management considers whether duties are correctly divided between staff members to reduce risks of error and inappropriate or fraudulent actions.									
10.3.1	(Y/N) Financial delegations and nominations are recorded, published, maintained and the responsibilities clearly communicated ¹¹ .	Self- Assessment & Ex-post controls	Y	Y	PA	Y	FINU/ICTU	Target indicator partially achieved for 2021. Target indicator achieved for 2020.		
10.4.	Business continuity plans based on a business impact analysis following corporate guidance are in place, up-to-date and used by trained staff to ensure that the Agency is able to continue working to the extent possible in case of a major disruption. Where necessary, business continuity plans must include coordinated and agreed disaster recovery plans for time-sensitive supporting infrastructure (e.g. IT systems).									
10.4.1	(Y/N) Business continuity plan exists based on the business impact analysis and includes a Disaster Recovery Plan ('DRP') for all critical IT systems.	Self- Assessment & Ex-post controls	РА	Υ	Υ	Υ	GSSU/QME S	Target indicator achieved for 2021. Target indicator was achieved for 2020.		

 $^{^{\}rm 11}$ This indicator covers also characteristics covering characteristic 3.2.



Principle 11: The Agency selects and develops general control activities over technology to support the achievement of objectives.

Nº	Indicator	Source of data to obtain value for indicator	Baseline value 2020	Target value 2021	Measured value 2021	Target value 2022	Owner	Assessment/Conclusion			
11.1.	Control over technology. In order to ensure that technology used in business processes, including automated controls, is reliable, and taking into account the overall corporate processes, the ED selects and develops control activities over the acquisition, development and maintenance of technology and related infrastructure.										
11.1.1	(Y/N) All IT projects have a Business Case and have been agreed by the Management Team. Once in production a business owner and IT steering committee are appointed.	Self- Assessment & Ex-post controls	PA	Y	Y	Y	ICTU	Target indicator achieved for 2021. Target indicator was partially achieved for 2020.			
11.2.											
11.2.1	% of compliance in the annual user rights review exercise.	Self- Assessment & Ex-post controls	90%	90%	90% ¹²	90%	ICTU	Target indicator achieved for 2021. Target indicator was achieved for 2020.			

¹² Control 1.8. considered as not risky. Nine out of ten controls implemented considered as not risky.



Principle 12: The Agency deploys control activities through corporate policies that establish what is expected, and in procedures that put policies into action.

Nō	Indicator	Source of data to obtain value for indicator	Baseline value 2020	Target value 2021	Measured value 2021	Target value 2022	Owner	Assessment/Conclusion				
12.1.	12.1. Appropriate control procedures ensure that objectives are achieved. The control procedures assign responsibility for control activities to the department or individual responsible for the risk in question. The staff member(s) put in charge perform the control activities in a timely manner and with due diligence, taking corrective action where needed. Management periodically reassesses the control procedures to ensure that they remain relevant.											
12.1.1	% of implemented mitigating controls associated with risks for the sensitive functions	Self- Assessment & Ex-post controls	100%	80%	100%	80%	ICRMS	Target indicator achieved for 2021. Target indicator was achieved for 2020.				
12.2.	Exception reporting is one of the management tools used to draw conclusions about the effectiveness of internal control and/or the changes needed in the internal control system. A system is in place to ensure that all instances of overriding controls or deviations from established processes and procedures are documented in exception reports (ex-ante) or non-compliance (ex-post). All instances of ex-ante overriding controls or deviations must be justified and approved before action is taken and logged centrally.											
12.2.1	% of the annual financial impact of exception and non compliances over the total amount of payment appropriations executed	Self- Assessment & Ex-post controls	0.83%	< 2 % 2020	0.5 %	< 1,5 % 2021	ICRMS	Target indicator achieved for 2021 Target indicator was achieved for 2020.				
12.2.2	% of timely implementation of agreed corrective action in the Agency's Register of exceptions and noncompliances	Self- Assessment & Ex-post controls	100%	80%	93.3%	80%	ICRMS	Target indicator achieved for 2021. Target indicator was achieved for 2020.				
12.3.								ed by analogy in accordance with the guiding principles related impacts on new initiatives. ¹³				
12.3.1	(Y/N) Where appropriate evaluation are implemented	Self- Assessment	Υ	Υ	Υ	Υ	GSSU/QMES	Target indicator achieved for 2021. Target indicator was achieved for 2020.				

¹³ In accordance with Principle of Sound Financial Management and article 29.5 of the EUAA Financial Regulation "In order to improve decision-making, [the EUAA] shall undertake both ex ante and ex post evaluations in line with guidance provided by the Commission. Such evaluations shall be applied to all programmes and activities which entail significant spending and evaluation results shall be sent to the management board. The Executive Director shall prepare an action plan to follow-up on the conclusions of the evaluations and report on its progress twice a year to the Commission and regularly to the management board. The management board shall scrutinise the implementation of the action plan".



Nº	Indicator	Source of data to obtain value for indicator	Baseline value 2020	Target value 2021	Measured value 2021	Target value 2022	Owner	Assessment/Conclusion
	and results followed up in response sheets (actions	controls						
	plans) and reported regularly to the MB.							



Principle 13: The Agency obtains or generates and uses relevant quality information to support the functioning of internal control.

Nō	Indicator	Source of data to obtain value for indicator	Baseline value 2020	Target value 2021	Measured value 2021	Target value 2022	Owner	Conclusion	
13.1. Information and document management. The ED identifies the information required to support the functioning of the internal control system and the achievement of the Agency's objectives. Information systems process relevant data, captured from both internal and external sources, to obtain the required and expected quality information, in compliance with applicable security, document management and ki rules. This information is produced in a timely manner, and is reliable, current, accurate, complete, accessible, protected, verifiable, filed and preserved. It is shared within the organisation in line with prevailing guidelines.									
13.1.1	(Y/N) A Document management system (ERDMS) is in place in all units	Self- Assessment & Ex-post	Y	Y	Y	y Y	ICTU/GSSU/QMES	Target indicator achieved for 2021. Target indicator was achieved for 2020.	
13.1.2	in the EUAA % of EUAA users trained on the usage of the Electronic Records and Documents	controls Self- Assessment	74%	60%	111%	60%	ICTU/HRU	Target indicator achieved for 2021.	
13.1.2	Management System (ERDMS) since the beginning of the project ¹⁴	& Ex-post controls		00%	11176	0076	icro/fino	Target indicator was achieved for 2020.	

European Union Agency for Asylum www.euaa.europa.eu

Tel: +356 2248 7500 info@euaa.europa.eu

Winemakers Wharf Valletta, MRS 1917, MALTA

¹⁴ There is room to redefine this indicator because since 2017 the EUAA has trained 732 participants or 579 unique participants. 579. If to compare to staff and interims at HQ 01/10/2021, it means we have trained **111%**. In total since 2017 there were 45 training sessions.



Principle 14: The Agency internally communicates information, including objectives and responsibilities for internal control, necessary to support the functioning of internal control.

Nº	Indicator	Source of data to obtain value for indicator	Baseline value 2020	Target value 2021	Measured value 2021	Target value 2022	Owner	Conclusion		
14.1.	4.1. Internal communication. The Agency and the ED communicate internally about their objectives, challenges, actions taken, and results achieved, including but not limited to the objectives and responsibilities of internal control.									
14.1.1	Level of global satisfaction of staff with ICRM trainings ¹⁵	EXO annual objectives/key performance indicators	8.20	≥7	8.17	≥7	ICRMS	Target indicator achieved for 2021. Target indicator was achieved for 2020.		
14.2.	Separate communication lines, such as whistleblowing hotlines, are in place at Agency level and in line with Commission guidance and Commission communications, to ensure information flow when normal channels are ineffective.									
14.2.1	(Y/N) EUAA organised event(s) to raise awareness on whistleblowing procedure at least annually.	Self- Assessment & Ex-post controls	Y	Υ	Y	Υ	ICRMS	Target indicator achieved for 2021. Target indicator was achieved for 2020.		

¹⁵ Staff satisfaction is measured after each training by using scale from 1 to 10 - 10 being extremely satisfied, 1 not satisfied at all. If level of global satisfaction of staff with ICRM training modules (ICRM 01,02 and 03)) is higher than or equals 7, it is considered that the target is achieved. This monitoring criteria was originally established under principle 15 (as 15.2.1), but factually it measures principle 14. The results are based on 153 replies over 24 training sessions delivered in the 2020-2021 period.



Principle 15: The Agency communicates with external parties about matters affecting the functioning of internal control.

Nō	Indicator	Source of data to obtain value for indicator	Baseline value 2020	Target value 2021	Measured value 2021	Target value 2022	Owner	Assessment/Conclusion			
15.1.	External communication. The Agency ensures that the external communication is consistent, relevant to the audience being targeted, and cost-effective. The Agency establishes clear responsibilities to align Agency communication activities with the Agency's political priorities and narrative of the institution.										
15.1.1	(Y/N) The agency monitors and evaluates its communication activities base on pre-defined SMART objectives and KPIs. (Y/N) The agency monitors and Self- Assessment & Y Y Y CPRU Target indicator achieved for 2021. Target indicator was achieved for 2020.										
15.2.											



Principle 16: The Agency selects, develops, and performs ongoing and/or separate assessments to ascertain whether the components of internal control are present and functioning.

Nō	Indicator	Source of data to obtain value for indicator	Baseline value 2020	Target value 2021	Measured value 2021	Target value 2022	Owner	Assessment/Conclusion			
16.1.	Continuous and specific assessments. The ED continuously monitors the performance of the internal control system with tools that make it possible to identify internal control of the internal control										
		deficiencies, register and assess the results of controls, and control deviations and exceptions. In addition, when necessary, the Executive Director carries out specific assessments, considering changes in the control environment. Ongoing assessments are built into business processes and adjusted to changing conditions.									
16.1.1.	(Y/N) Financial ex-post controls coverage for simplified payment workflows are implemented for a representative probabilistic sample of the population "n"16.	Ex-post controls	PA	Υ	Υ	Y	ICRMS	Target indicator achieved for 2021. Target indicator was partially achieved for 2020.			
16.1.2.	(Y/N) Financial ex-post controls coverage for non-simplified expenditure operations workflows (including procurement files) are implemented for e representative probabilistic sample of the population "n"17.	Ex-post controls	РА	Y	Υ	Y	ICRMS	Target indicator achieved for 2021. Target indicator was partially achieved for 2020.			
16.2.	Sufficient knowledge and information. St completeness of the results of controls, co			te assessme	nts has sufficient	knowledge	and informa	tion to do this, specifically on the scope and			
16.2.1	% of managers ¹⁸ that have followed training on the Internal Control Framework ¹⁹ .	Self- Assessment & Ex-post controls	86%	≥85%	86.7%	≥85%	ICRMS	Target indicator achieved for 2021. Target indicator was achieved for 2020.			
16.3.	Risk-based and periodical assessments. The Agency varies the scope and frequency of specific assessments depending on the identified risks. Specific assessments are performed periodically to provide objective feedback.										

¹⁶ The sample is calculated following the ex-post controls methodology, as defined in the EDD on the risk-based financial ex-post control strategy.

¹⁷ The sample is calculated following the ex-post controls methodology, as defined in the EDD on the risk-based financial ex-post control strategy

¹⁸ Indicator 4.2. as per MB Decision 60.

¹⁹ FR Art. 74. Art.7: In each Union institution, the authorising officer by delegation shall ensure the following:

⁽a) that the authorising officers by subdelegation and their staff receive regularly updated and appropriate information and training concerning the control standards and the methods and techniques available for that purpose; b) that measures are taken, where needed, to ensure the effective and efficient functioning of the control systems in accordance with paragraph 2.



	Nº	Indicator	Source of data to obtain value for indicator	Baseline value 2020	Target value 2021	Measured value 2021	Target value 2022	Owner	Assessment/Conclusion
•	16.3.1	(Y/N) Ex-post control on the efficiency and effectiveness of the EUAA internal control systems are implemented within the target deadlines agreed with the Head of EXO and ED and results are made available on time to feed the Declaration on the CAAR of the ED and ICC.	Self- Assessment & Ex-post controls	Y	Y	Y	Y	ICRMS	Target indicator achieved for 2021. Target indicator was achieved for 2020.



Principle 17: The Agency assesses and communicates internal control deficiencies in a timely manner to those parties responsible for taking corrective action, including the Management Board and senior management, as appropriate.

Nō	Indicator	Source of data to obtain value for indicator	Baseline value 2020	Target value 2021	Measured value 2021	Target value 2022	Owner	Assessment/Conclusion			
17.1	17.1 Deficiencies. With the support of the ICC and other RAOs (as relevant) in charge of risk management and internal control, the ED considers the results of the assessments of how the internal control system is functioning within the Agency. Deficiencies are communicated to management and to the departments responsible for taking corrective action. They are reported in the CAAR and to the MB and senior management, as appropriate.										
17.1.1.	(Y/N) ICRMS delivers timely accurate and reliable information on governance, internal control and risk management in cover notes including relevant reports, for each MB meeting	Self- Assessment & Ex-post controls	Y	Y	Y	Y	ICRMS	Target indicator achieved for 2021. Target indicator was achieved for 2020.			
17.2.											
17.2.1	% of timely implementation of corrective actions to address internal control deficiencies identified during the internal control self-assessment exercise ²⁰	Self- Assessment & Ex-post controls	106%²¹	90%	91.5%	90%	ICRMS	Target indicator achieved for 2021. Target indicator was achieved for 2020.			

²⁰ Indicator 4.1. as per MB Decision 71.

²¹ The result for the Q4 2020 reported to the MB is 87%. After the re-assessment of the implemented of all corrective actions against the due ones the results is 106%.